## Dimensionality reduction

## Outline

- Dimensionality Reductions or data projections
- Random projections
- Singular Value Decomposition and Principal Component Analysis (PCA)


## The curse of dimensionality

- The efficiency of many algorithms depends on the number of dimensions $d$
- Distance/similarity computations are at least linear to the number of dimensions
- Index structures fail as the dimensionality of the data increases


## Goals

- Reduce dimensionality of the data
- Maintain the meaningfulness of the data


## Dimensionality reduction

- Dataset $X$ consisting of $n$ points in a ddimensional space
- Data point $x_{i} \in R^{d}$ (d-dimensional real vector): $x_{i}=\left[x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i d}\right]$
- Dimensionality reduction methods:
- Feature selection: choose a subset of the features
- Feature extraction: create new features by combining new ones


## Dimensionality reduction

- Dimensionality reduction methods:
- Feature selection: choose a subset of the features
- Feature extraction: create new features by combining new ones
- Both methods map vector $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$, to vector $y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{k},(k \ll d)$
- F : $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{k}}$


## Linear dimensionality reduction

- Function F is a linear projection
- $y_{i}=x_{i} A$
- $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}$ A
- Goal: Y is as close to X as possible


## Closeness: Pairwise distances

- Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma: Given $\varepsilon>0$, and an integer $n$, let $k$ be a positive integer such that $\mathrm{k} \geq \mathrm{k}_{0}=\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-2} \log n\right)$. For every set $X$ of $n$ points in $R^{d}$ there exists $F: R^{d} \rightarrow R^{k}$ such that for all $X_{i}, x_{j} \in X$
$(1-\varepsilon)\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|F\left(x_{i}\right)-F\left(x_{j}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq(1+\varepsilon)\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2}$
What is the intuitive interpretation of this statement?


## JL Lemma: Intuition

- Vectors $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$, are projected onto a $\mathrm{k}-$ dimensional space $(\mathbb{k} \ll d)$ : $y_{i}=x_{i} A$
- If $\left\|x_{i}\right\|=1$ for all $i$, then,
$\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2}$ is approximated by $(d / k)\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{2}$
- Intuition:
- The expected squared norm of a projection of a unit vector onto a random subspace through the origin is $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{d}$
- The probability that it deviates from expectation is very small


## Finding random projections

- Vectors $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$, are projected onto a $k$ dimensional space ( $k \ll d$ )
- Random projections can be represented by linear transformation matrix $A$
- $y_{i}=x_{i} A$
- What is the matrix A ?


## Finding random projections

- Vectors $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$, are projected onto a $k$ dimensional space ( $k \ll d$ )
- Random projections can be represented by linear transformation matrix $A$
- $y_{i}=x_{i} A$
- What is the matrix A ?


## Finding matrix A

- Elements A(ii,j) can be Gaussian distributed
- Achlioptas* has shown that the Gaussian distribution can be replaced by

$$
A(i, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
+1 \text { with prob } \frac{1}{6} \\
0 \text { with prob } \frac{2}{3} \\
-1 \text { with prob } \frac{1}{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- All zero mean, unit variance distributions for A(i,j) would give a mapping that satisfies the JL lemma
- Why is Achlioptas result useful?


## Datasets in the form of

We are given $n$ objects and $d$ features describing the objects.
(Each object has d numeric values describing it.)
Dataset
An n-by-d matrix $A, A_{i j}$ shows the "importance" of feature $j$ for object $i$.
Every row of A represents an object.

## Goal

1. Understand the structure of the data, e.g., the underlying process generating the data.
2. Reduce the number of features representing the

## Market basket matrices

d products
(e.g., milk, bread, wine, etc.)

A
customers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\text { quantity of } \mathrm{j} \text {-th product } \\
& \text { purchased by the } \mathrm{i} \text {-th } \\
& \text { customer }
\end{aligned}
$$



Find a subset of the products that characterize customer behavior

## Social-network matrices

n users $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { d groups } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { (e.g., BU group, opera, } \\ A \\ \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\text { partiticipation of } \\ \text { the i-th user in the } \mathrm{j} \text {-th } \\ \text { group }\end{array}\end{array}\right.$

Find a subset of the groups that accurately clusters social-network users

## Document matrices

d terms
(e.g., theorem, proof, etc.)
n documents $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { A } \\ A_{i j}=\text { frequency of the } j-t h \\ \text { term in the i-th document }\end{array}\right)$
Find a subset of the terms that accurately clusters the documents

## Recommendation systems

d products


Find a subset of the products that accurately describe the behavior or the customers

## The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Data matrices have n rows (one for each object) and d columns (one for each feature).

Rows: vectors in a Euclidean space,
Two objects are "close" if the angle between their corresponding vectors is small.


## SVD: Example

Input: 2-d dimensional points

## Output:

1st (right) singular vector: direction of maximal variance, 2nd (right) singular vector: direction of maximal variance, after removing the projection of the data along the first singular vector.

## Singular values


$\sigma_{1}$ : measures how much of the data variance is explained by the first singular vector.
$\sigma_{2}$ : measures how much of the data variance is explained by the second singular vector.

## SVD decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A)=(U) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& \\
\mathbf{x} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l} 
\\
\end{array}\right)^{T} \\
& n \times d \quad n \times \ell \quad \ell \times \ell \quad \ell \times d
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{V})$ : orthogonal matrix containing the left (right) singular vectors of $A$.
$\Sigma$ : diagonal matrix containing the singular values of A : ( $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{\ell}$ )

Exact computation of the SVD takes $O\left(m i n\left\{m n^{2}, m^{2} n\right\}\right)$ time.
The top $k$ left/right singular vectors/values can be computed faster using Lanczos/Arnoldi methods.

## SVD and Rank-k



## Rank-k approximations ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}$ )


$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ : orthogonal matrix containing the top k left (right) singular vectors of A.
$\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}$ diagonal matrix containing the top k singular values of $A$
$A_{k}$ is an approximation of $A$

## Rank-k approximations ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}$ )


$A_{k}$ is an approximation of $A$

## SVD as an optimization problem

Find C to minimize:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{C} \|{\underset{n \times d}{A}-\underset{n \times k}{C} \underset{k \times d}{X} \|_{F \text { Frobenius norm: }}^{2}}_{\|A\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{i, j} A_{i j}^{2}}=\text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $C$ it is easy to find $X$ from standard least squares.
However, the fact that we can find the optimal $C$ is fascinatina!

## PCA and SVD

- PCA is SVD done on centered data
- PCA looks for such a direction that the data projected to it has the maximal variance
- PCA/SVD continues by seeking the next direction that is orthogonal to all previously found directions
- All directions are orthogonal


## How to compute the PCA

- Data matrix A, rows = data points, columns = variables (attributes, features, parameters)

1. Center the data by subtracting the mean of each column
2. Compute the SVD of the centered matrix $A^{\prime}$ (i.e., find the first $k$ singular values/vectors) $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\mathbf{U} \Sigma^{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{V}^{\top}$
3. The principal components are the columns of V , the coordinates of the data in the basis defined by the principal components are UE

## Singular values tell us something about the variance

- The variance in the direction of the k-th principal component is given by the corresponding singular value $\sigma_{k}{ }^{2}$
- Singular values can be used to estimate how many components to keep
- Rule of thumb: keep enough to explain $85 \%$ of the variation:

$$
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{j}^{2}} \approx 0.85
$$

SVD is "the Rolls-Royce and the Swiss Army Knife of Numerical Linear Algebra."*
*Dianne O'Leary, MMDS '06

## SVD as an optimization

Find $C$ to minimize:

$$
\min _{C}\|\underset{n \times d}{A}-\underset{n \times k}{C} \underset{k \times d}{X}\|_{F \text { Frobenius norm: }}^{2}
$$

$$
\|A\|_{r}^{2}=\sum_{i,} A_{i}^{2}
$$

Given C it is easy to find $X$ from standard least squares.
However, the fact that we can find the optimal C is fascinating!

