## Covering problems

# Prototype problems: Covering problems

#### Setting:

- Universe of N elements  $U = \{U_1, ..., U_N\}$
- $A set of n sets S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$
- Find a collection C of sets in S (C subset of S) such that  $U_{c \in C}$  contains many elements from U

#### Example:

- U: set of documents in a collection
- $-s_i$ : set of documents that contain term  $t_i$
- Find a collection of terms that cover most of the documents

#### Prototype covering problems

- Set cover problem: Find a small collection C of sets from S such that all elements in the universe U are covered by some set in C
- Best collection problem: find a collection C of k sets from S such that the collection covers as many elements from the universe U as possible
- Both problems are NP-hard
- Simple approximation algorithms with provable properties are available and very useful in practice

#### Set-cover problem

- Universe of N elements  $U = \{U_1, ..., U_N\}$
- A set of n sets  $S = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$  such that  $U_i s_i = U$

- Question: Find the smallest number of sets from S to form collection C (C subset of S) such that U<sub>CC</sub>C=U
- The set-cover problem is NP-hard (what does this mean?)

#### Trivial algorithm

- Try all subcollections of S
- Select the smallest one that covers all the elements in U

- The running time of the trivial algorithm is O(2<sup>|S|</sup>|U|)
- This is way too slow

## Greedy algorithm for set cover

- Select first the largest-cardinality set s from S
- Remove the elements from s from U

- Recompute the sizes of the remaining sets in S
- Go back to the first step

#### As an algorithm

- X = U
- C = {}
- while X is not empty do
  - For all seS let  $a_s = |s|$  intersection X|
  - Let s be such that a<sub>s</sub> is maximal
  - $-C = C U \{s\}$
  - $-X = X \setminus s$

### How can this go wrong?

 No global consideration of how good or bad a selected set is going to be

# How good is the greedy algorithm?

- Consider a minimization problem
  - In our case we want to minimize the cardinality of set
- Consider an instance I, and cost a\*(I) of the optimal solution
  - a\*(I): is the minimum number of sets in C that cover all elements in U
- Let a(1) be the cost of the approximate solution
  - a(I): is the number of sets in C that are picked by the greedy algorithm
- An algorithm for a minimization problem has approximation factor F if for all instances I we have that

$$a(I) \le F \times a^*(I)$$

Can we prove any approximation bounds for the greedy algorithm for set cover?

## How good is the greedy algorithm for set cover?

- (Trivial?) Observation: The greedy algorithm for set cover has approximation factor  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{s}_{\text{max}}$ , where  $\mathbf{s}_{\text{max}}$  is the set in  $\mathbf{S}$  with the largest cardinality
- Proof:
  - $-a^*(I) \ge N/|s_{max}|$  or  $N \le |s_{max}|a^*(I)$
  - $-a(I) \le N \le |s_{max}|a^*(I)$

# How good is the greedy algorithm for set cover? A tighter bound

• The greedy algorithm for set cover has approximation factor  $F = O(log |s_{max}|)$ 

 Proof: (From CLR "Introduction to Algorithms")

#### Best-collection problem

- Universe of N elements U = {U<sub>1</sub>,...,U<sub>N</sub>}
- A set of n sets  $S = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$  such that  $U_i s_i = U$
- Question: Find the a collection C consisting of k sets from S such that f (C) = |U<sub>c∈C</sub>c| is maximized
- The best-colection problem is NP-hard
- Simple approximation algorithm has approximation factor F = (e-1)/e

## Greedy approximation algorithm for the best-collection problem

- C = {}
- for every set s in S and not in C compute the gain of s:

$$g(s) = f(C \cup \{s\}) - f(C)$$

- Select the set s with the maximum gain
- $C = C U \{s\}$
- Repeat until C has k elements

#### Basic theorem

 The greedy algorithm for the bestcollection problem has approximation factor F = (e-1)/e

- C\*: optimal collection of cardinality k
- C: collection output by the greedy algorithm
- $f(C) \ge (e-1)/e \times f(C^*)$