## Graph Clustering

## Why graph clustering is useful?

- Distance matrices are graphs $\rightarrow$ as useful as any other clustering
- Identification of communities in social networks
- Webpage clustering for better data management of web data


## Outline

- Min s-t cut problem
- Min cut problem
- Multiway cut
- Minimum k-cut
- Other normalized cuts and spectral graph partitionings


## Min s-t cut

- Weighted graph G(V,E)
- An s-t cut $C=(S, T)$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a cut partition of $V$ into $S$ and $T$ such that $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{T}$
- Cost of a cut: $\operatorname{Cost}(C)=\Sigma_{e(u, v) u \in S, v \in T} w(e)$
- Problem: Given G, s and t find the minimum cost s-t cut


## Max flow problem

- Flow network
- Abstraction for material flowing through the edges
$-\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ directed graph with no parallel edges
- Two distinguished nodes: $\mathrm{s}=$ source, $\mathrm{t}=$ sink
$-c(e)=$ capacity of edge $e$


## Cuts

- An s-t cut is a partition ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ ) of V with $\mathrm{s} \in \mathrm{S}$ and $t \in T$
- capacity of a cut $(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T})$ is $\operatorname{cap}(S, T)=\Sigma_{\text {e out of } S} C(e)$
- Find s-t cut with the minimum capacity: this problem can be solved optimally in polynomial time by using flow techniques


## Flows

- An s-t flow is a function that satisfies
- For each $e \in E 0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$ [capacity]
- For each $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}-\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}\}$ :
$\Sigma_{\text {e in to } v} f(e)=\Sigma_{\text {e out of } v} f(e)$ [conservation]
- The value of a flow $f$ is: $v(f)=\Sigma_{\text {e out of } s} f(e)$


## Max flow problem

- Find s-t flow of maximum value


## Flows and cuts

- Flow value lemma: Let f be any flow and let ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ ) be any s-t cut. Then, the net flow sent across the cut is equal to the amount leaving s

$$
\Sigma_{e \text { out of } S} f(e)-\Sigma_{e \text { in to } S} f(e)=v(f)
$$

## Flows and cuts

- Weak duality: Let f be any flow and let $(S, T)$ be any s -t cut. Then the value of the flow is at most the capacity of the cut defined by ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ ):

$$
v(f) \leq \operatorname{cap}(S, T)
$$

## Certificate of optimality

- Let f be any flow and let ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ ) be any cut. If $v(f)=\operatorname{cap}(S, T)$ then $f$ is a max flow and ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ ) is a min cut.
- The min-cut max-flow problems can be solved optimally in polynomial time!


## Setting

- Connected, undirected graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$
- Assignment of weights to edges: w: $\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$
- Cut: Partition of V into two sets: $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V}$. The set of edges with one end point in V and the other in V' define the cut
- The removal of the cut disconnects G
- Cost of a cut: sum of the weights of the edges that have one of their end point in $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ and the other in $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V}^{3}$


## Min cut problem

- Can we solve the min-cut problem using an algorithm for s-t cut?


## Randomized min-cut algorithm

- Repeat : pick an edge uniformly at random and merge the two vertices at its end-points
- If as a result there are several edges between some pairs of (newly-formed) vertices retain them all
- Edges between vertices that are merged are removed (no self-loops)
- Until only two vertices remain
- The set of edges between these two vertices is a cut in G and is output as a candidate min-cut


## Example of contraction



## Observations on the algorithm

- Every cut in the graph at any intermediate stage is a cut in the original graph


## Analysis of the algorithm

- $\quad$ C the min-cut of size $k \rightarrow G$ has at least $k n / 2$ edges
- Why?
- $E_{i}$ : the event of not picking an edge of $C$ at the $i$-th step for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$
- Step 1:
- Probability that the edge randomly chosen is in $C$ is at most $2 k /(k n)=2 / n \rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1}\right)$ $\geq 1-2 / n$
- Step 2:
- If $E_{1}$ occurs, then there are at least $k(n-1) / 2$ edges remaining
- The probability of picking one from $C$ is at most $2 /(n-1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{2} \mid E_{1}\right)=1-2 /(n-1)$
- Step i:
- Number of remaining vertices: $n-i+1$
- Number of remaining edges: $k(n-i+1) / 2$ (since we never picked an edge from the cut)
$-\operatorname{Pr}\left(E i \mid \Pi_{j=1 \ldots i-1} E_{j}\right) \geq 1-2 /(n-i+1)$
_ Probability that no edge in $C$ is ever picked: $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\Pi_{i=1 \ldots n-2} E_{i}\right) \geq \Pi_{i=1 \ldots n-2}(1-2 /(n-i$

$$
+1))=2 /\left(n^{2}-n\right)
$$

- The probability of discovering a particular min-cut is larger than $2 / n^{2}$
- Repeat the above algorithm $n^{2} / 2$ times. The probability that a min-cut is not found is $\left(1-2 / n^{2}\right)^{n \wedge 2 / 2}<1 / e$


## Multiway cut (analogue of s - tcut )

- Problem: Given a set of terminals $S=\left\{\mathbf{s}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{s}_{k}\right\}$ subset of V , a multiway cut is a set of edges whose removal disconnects the terminals from each other. The multiway cut problem asks for the minimum weight such set.
- The multiway cut problem is NP-hard (for $\mathrm{k}>2$ )


## Algorithm for multiway cut

- For each $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$, compute the minimum weight isolating cut for $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$, say $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- Discard the heaviest of these cuts and output the union of the rest, say C
- Isolating cut for $s_{i}$ : The set of edges whose removal disconnects $s_{i}$ from the rest of the terminals
- How can we find a minimum-weight isolating cut?
- Can we do it with a single s-t cut computation?


## Approximation result

- The previous algorithm achieves an approximation guarantee of $2-2 / \mathrm{k}$
- Proof


## Minimum k-cut

- A set of edges whose removal leaves connected components is called a k-cut. The minimum k-cut problem asks for a minimum-weight k-cut
- Recursively compute cuts in G (and the resulting connected components) until there are k components left
- This is a (2-2/k)-approximation algorithm


## Minimum k-cut algorithm

- Compute the Gomory-Hu tree T for G
- Output the union of the lightest $\mathbf{k}-1$ cuts of the $n-1$ cuts associated with edges of $T$ in $G$; let $C$ be this union
- The above algorithm is a ( $2-2 / k$ )approximation algorithm


## Gomory-Hu Tree

- T is a tree with vertex set V
- The edges of T need not be in $E$
- Let e be an edge in T; its removal from T creates two connected components with vertex sets (S,S')
- The cut in G defined by partition ( $S, S^{\prime}$ ) is the cut associated with e in G


## Gomory-Hu tree

- Tree T is said to be the Gomory-Hu tree for G if
- For each pair of vertices $u, v$ in $V$, the weight of a minimum $u-v$ cut in $G$ is the same as that in T
- For each edge e in T, w' ${ }^{\prime}$ e) is the weight of the cut associated with $e$ in $G$


## Min-cuts again

- What does it mean that a set of nodes are well or sparsely interconnected?
- min-cut: the min number of edges such that when removed cause the graph to become disconnected
- small min-cut implies sparse connectivity
$-\min _{U} E(U, V \backslash U)=\sum_{i \in U} \sum_{j \in V \backslash U} A[i, j]$



## Measuring connectivity

- What does it mean that a set of nodes are well interconnected?
- min-cut: the min number of edges such that when removed cause the graph to become disconnected
- not always a good idea!



## Graph expansion

- Normalize the cut by the size of the smallest component
- Cut ratio: $\quad \alpha=\frac{}{\text { - Graph expansion: }}$

$$
\alpha(G)=\min _{U} \frac{E(U, V \backslash U)}{\min \{|U|,|V \backslash U|\}}
$$

- We will now see how the graph expansion relates to the eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix A


## Spectral analysis

- The Laplacian matrix L = D - A where - A = the adjacency matrix
$-\mathrm{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
- $d_{i}=$ degree of node $i$
- Therefore
$-L(i, i)=d_{i}$
$-L(i, j)=-1$, if there is an edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ )


## Laplacian Matrix properties

- The matrix $L$ is symmetric and positive semi-definite
- all eigenvalues of $L$ are positive
- The matrix $L$ has 0 as an eigenvalue, and corresponding eigenvector $\mathrm{w}_{1}=$ ( $1,1, \ldots, 1$ )
$-\lambda_{1}=0$ is the smallest eigenvalue


## The second smallest eigenvalue

- The second smallest eigenvalue (also known as Fielder value) $\lambda_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\lambda_{2}=\min _{\|x\|=1, x \perp w_{1}} x^{T} L x
$$

- The vector that minimizes $\lambda_{2}$ is called the Fielder vector. It minimizes
$\lambda_{2}=\min _{x \neq 0} \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}$ where $\sum_{i} x_{i}=0$


## Spectral ordering

- The values of $x$ minimize

$$
\min _{\substack{x \neq 0}} \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}\left(x_{i}-x j\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}} \quad \sum_{i} x_{i}=0
$$

- For weighted matrices

$$
\min _{x \neq 0} \frac{\sum_{(i, j)} A[i, j]\left(x_{i}-x j\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}} \quad \sum_{i} x_{i}=0
$$

- The ordering according to the $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values will group similar (connected) nodes together
- Physical interpretation: The stable state of springs placed on the edges of the graph


## Spectral partition

- Partition the nodes according to the ordering induced by the Fielder vector
- If $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ is the Fielder vector, then split nodes according to a value $s$
- bisection: s is the median value in u
- ratio cut: $s$ is the value that minimizes $\alpha$
- sign: separate positive and negative values ( $s=0$ )
- gap: separate according to the largest gap in the values of $u$
- This works well (provably for special cases)


## Fielder Value

- The value $\lambda_{2}$ is a good approximation of the graph expansion

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\alpha(G)^{2}}{2 d} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq 2 \alpha(G) \quad \mathrm{d}=\text { maximum degree } \\
\frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \leq \alpha(G) \leq \sqrt{\lambda_{2}\left(2 d-\lambda_{2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

- If the max degree $d$ is bounded we obtain a good approximation of the minimum expansion cut


## Conductance

- The expansion does not capture the inter-cluster similarity well
- The nodes with high degree are more important
Graph Conductance

$$
\phi(G)=\min _{U} \frac{E(U, V \backslash U)}{\min \{d(U), d(V-U)\}}
$$

- weighted degrees of nodes in U

$$
d(U)=\sum_{i \in U} \sum_{j \in U} A[i, j]
$$

## Conductance and random walks

- Consider the normalized stochastic matrix $M=D^{-1} A$
- The conductance of the Markov Chain M is

$$
\phi(M)=\min _{U} \frac{\sum_{i \in U} \sum_{j \notin U} \pi(i) M[i, j]}{\min \{\pi(U), \pi(V \backslash U)\}}
$$

- the probability that the random walk escapes set $U$
- The conductance of the graph is the same as that of the Markov Chain, $\varphi(\mathrm{G})=\varphi(\mathrm{M})$
- Conductance $\varphi$ is related to the second eigenvalue of the matrix $M$

$$
\frac{\phi^{2}}{8} \leq 1-\mu_{2} \leq \phi
$$

## Interpretation of conductance

- Low conductance means that there is some bottleneck in the graph
- a subset of nodes not well connected with the rest of the graph.
- High conductance means that the graph is well connected


## Clustering Conductance

- The conductance of a clustering is defined as the maximum conductance over all clusters in the clustering.
- Minimizing the conductance of clustering seems like a natural choice


## A spectral algorithm

- Create matrix $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{D}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}$
- Find the second largest eigenvector $v$
- Find the best ratio-cut (minimum conductance cut) with respect to
- Recurse on the pieces induced by the cut.
- The algorithm has provable guarantees


## A divide and merge methodology

Divide phase:

- Recursively partition the input into two pieces until singletons are produced
- output: a tree hierarchy
- Merge phase:
- use dynamic programming to merge the leafs in order to produce a tree-respecting flat clustering


# Merge phase or dynamicproqamming on trees 

- The merge phase finds the optimal clustering in the tree $T$ produced by the divide phase
- k-means objective with cluster centers $\mathrm{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ :

$$
F\left(\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}\right\}\right) \sum_{i} \sum_{u \in C_{i}} d\left(u, c_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

## Dynamic programming on trees

- OPT(C,i): optimal clustering for C using i clusters
- $C_{l}, C_{r}$ the left and the right children of node C
- Dynamic-programming recurrence

$$
O P T(C, i)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
C, \text { when } \mathrm{i}=1 \\
\arg \min _{1 \leq j \leq i} F\left(O P T\left(C_{l}, j\right) \cup O P T\left(C_{r}, i-j\right)\right), \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

