Covering problems

Prototype problems: Covering problems

- Setting:
 - Universe of N elements $U = \{U_1, ..., U_N\}$
 - $A \text{ set of } n \text{ sets } S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$
 - Find a collection C of sets in S (C subset of S) such that $U_{c \in C}c$ contains many elements from U
- Example:
 - U: set of documents in a collection
 - $-s_i$: set of documents that contain term t_i
 - Find a collection of terms that cover most of the documents

Prototype covering problems

- Set cover problem: Find a small collection C of sets from S such that all elements in the universe U are covered by some set in C
- Best collection problem: find a collection C of k sets from S such that the collection covers as many elements from the universe U as possible
- Both problems are NP-hard
- Simple approximation algorithms with provable properties are available and very useful in practice

Set-cover problem

- Universe of N elements $U = \{U_1, ..., U_N\}$
- A set of **n** sets $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ such that $U_i s_i = U$

- Question: Find the smallest number of sets from S to form collection C (C subset of S) such that U_{c∈C}C=U
- The set-cover problem is NP-hard (what does this mean?)

Trivial algorithm

- Try all subcollections of S
- Select the smallest one that covers all the elements in U
- The running time of the trivial algorithm is
 O(2^{|S|}|U|)
- This is way too slow

Greedy algorithm for set cover

- Select first the largest-cardinality set s from S
- Remove the elements from s from U
- Recompute the sizes of the remaining sets in S
- Go back to the first step

As an algorithm

- X = U
- **C** = {}
- while X is not empty do

 For all ses let a_s=|s intersection X|
 - Let **s** be such that a_s is maximal
 - $-C = C U \{s\}$
 - $-X = X \setminus s$

How can this go wrong?

 No global consideration of how good or bad a selected set is going to be

How good is the greedy algorithm?

- Consider a minimization problem
 - In our case we want to minimize the **cardinality** of set **C**
- Consider an instance I, and cost a*(I) of the optimal solution
 a*(I): is the minimum number of sets in C that cover all elements in U
- Let **a(I)** be the cost of the approximate solution
 - **a(I)**: is the number of sets in **C** that are picked by the greedy algorithm
- An algorithm for a minimization problem has approximation factor F if for all instances I we have that
 a(l)≤F x a*(l)
- Can we prove any approximation bounds for the greedy algorithm for set cover ?

How good is the greedy algorithm for set cover?

- (Trivial?) Observation: The greedy algorithm for set cover has approximation factor $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{s}_{max}$, where \mathbf{s}_{max} is the set in \mathbf{S} with the largest cardinality
- Proof:

 $-a^{*}(I) \ge N/|s_{max}| \text{ or } N \le |s_{max}|a^{*}(I)$

 $-a(I) \leq N \leq |s_{max}|a^*(I)$

How good is the greedy algorithm for set cover? A tighter bound

• The greedy algorithm for set cover has approximation factor $F = O(\log |s_{max}|)$

 Proof: (From CLR "Introduction to Algorithms")

Best-collection problem

- Universe of N elements $U = \{U_1, ..., U_N\}$
- A set of **n** sets $S = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ such that $U_i s_i = U$
- Question: Find the a collection C consisting of k sets from S such that f (C) = |U_{c∈C}c| is maximized
- The best-colection problem is NP-hard
- Simple approximation algorithm has approximation factor F = (e-1)/e

Greedy approximation algorithm for the best-collection problem • C = {}

for every set s in S and not in C compute the gain of s:

 $g(s) = f(C \cup \{s\}) - f(C)$

- Select the set s with the maximum gain
- C = C U {s}
- **Repeat until C** has **k** elements

Basic theorem

- The greedy algorithm for the bestcollection problem has approximation factor F = (e-1)/e
- C* : optimal collection of cardinality k
- **C** : collection output by the **greedy** algorithm
- $f(C) \ge (e-1)/e \times f(C^*)$