# CSE660 Differential Privacy October 2, 2017

Marco Gaboardi Room: 338-B gaboardi@buffalo.edu http://www.buffalo.edu/~gaboardi

# Project Ideas

- Reimplementing the dualquery algorithm in Python and test its accuracy,
- Implement more involved algorithms for reconstruction attacks (e.g. the one based on Fourier transform),
- Implement an heavy hitter algorithm in the local model of differential privacy,
- Using a differentially private deep learning tool on different kinds of high dimensional data,
- Implement a bayesian algorithm under differential privacy.

# $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -Differential Privacy

#### Definition

Given  $\varepsilon, \delta \ge 0$ , a probabilistic query  $Q: X^n \rightarrow R$  is ( $\varepsilon, \delta$ )-differentially private iff for all adjacent database  $b_1, b_2$  and for every  $S \subseteq R$ :  $Pr[Q(b_1) \in S] \le exp(\varepsilon)Pr[Q(b_2) \in S] + \delta$ 

### **Exponential Mechanism**



where

$$\Delta u = \max_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \max_{x \sim 1} \left| u(x, r) - u(y, r) \right|$$

### **Exponential Mechanism**

The Exponential Mechanism is a very general mechanism. It can actually be used as a kind of universal mechanism.

Unfortunately, when the output space is big it can be very costly to sample from it - the best option is to enumerate all the possibilities.

Moreover, when the output space is big also the accuracy get worse.

























6



#### The overall process is $(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \ldots + \epsilon_n)$ -DP

### Multiple queries

# **Question:** how much perturbation do we have if we want to answer n queries under ε-DP?

Reconstruction attack with<sup>8</sup> polynomial adversary

Let M: $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow R$  be a privacy mechanism adding noise within **E=o(\sqrt{n})** perturbation. Then we can show M blatantly non-private against an adversary A running in polynomial time and **answering n queries.** 

[DinurNissim'02, DworkYekhanin'08

### Multiple queries

Question: how much perturbation do we have if we want to answer n counting queries under  $\varepsilon_{global}$ -DP?

We can split the privacy budget uniformly:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_{\rm global}}{n}$$

### Multiple queries

Question: how much perturbation do we have if we want to answer n counting queries under  $\varepsilon_{global}$ -DP?

We can split the privacy budget uniformly:

$$\epsilon = rac{\epsilon_{\mathsf{global}}}{n}$$

**Laplace accuracy**: with high probability we have:  $\left|q(D) - r\right| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon n}\right)$ 

### Advanced Composition

10

**Question:** how much perturbation do we have if we want to answer n queries under  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP?

We have (by hiding many details) as a max error

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathsf{global}}\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

[DworkRothblumVadhan10, SteinkeUllman16]

### Advanced Composition

10

**Question:** how much perturbation do we have if we want to answer n queries under  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -DP?

We have (by hiding many details) as a max error

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathsf{global}}\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

If we don't renormalize this is of the order of  $O\Big(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\epsilon_{\rm global}}\Big)$  comparable to the sample error.

[DworkRothblumVadhan10, SteinkeUllman16]

### Multiple queries

#### **Question:** Can we do better?



We always need to think before applying composition to whether we have other options!

### SparseVector( $D,q_1,\ldots,q_n,T,\epsilon$ )

	$q_i(D) \geq 0$	T?
19144  0.    19146  0.    34505  1    25012  0.    16544  0.	ion to the second secon	Noise







Sparse vector 13 SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ ) **Q**1  $q_i(D) \ge T?$ Noise 19144 0 19146 0 Imor 34505 sion 25012 0 16544 0

Sparse vector 13 SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ ) **Q**1  $q_i(D) \ge T?$ Noise 19144 0 19146 0 Imor 34505 sion 25012 0 16544

Sparse vector 13 SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ ) **Q**1  $a_1$  $q_i(D) \ge T?$ Noise 19144 0 19146 0 Imor 34505 sion 25012 0 16544

Sparse vector

SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



13

SparseVector( $D, q_1, \ldots, q_n, T, \varepsilon$ )



How can we achieve epsilon-DP by paying only for the queries above T?




















#### Return k

## An example: above threshold

**Algorithm 1** Input is a private database D, an adaptively chosen stream of sensitivity 1 queries  $f_1, \ldots$ , and a threshold T. Output is a stream of responses  $a_1, \ldots$ 

AboveThreshold $(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ Let  $\hat{T} = T + \text{Lap}\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)$ . for Each query *i* do Let  $\nu_i = \text{Lap}\left(\frac{4}{\epsilon}\right)$ if  $f_i(D) + \nu_i \ge \hat{T}$  then Output  $a_i = \top$ . Halt. else Output  $a_i = \bot$ . end if end for

## An example: above threshold

**Algorithm 1** Input is a private database D, an adaptively chosen stream of sensitivity 1 queries  $f_1, \ldots$ , and a threshold T. Output is a stream of responses  $a_1, \ldots$ 

AboveThreshold $(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ Let  $\hat{T} = T + \text{Lap}\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)$ . for Each query *i* do Let  $\nu_i = \text{Lap}\left(\frac{4}{\epsilon}\right)$ if  $f_i(D) + \nu_i \ge \hat{T}$  then Output  $a_i = \top$ . Halt. else Output  $a_i = \bot$ . end if end for













#### In the worst case, the data analysis is (**nɛ/4**,0)-DP

Can we do better?

## An example: above threshold

**Algorithm 1** Input is a private database D, an adaptively chosen stream of sensitivity 1 queries  $f_1, \ldots$ , and a threshold T. Output is a stream of responses  $a_1, \ldots$ 

AboveThreshold $(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ Let  $\hat{T} = T + \text{Lap}\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)$ . for Each query *i* do Let  $\nu_i = \text{Lap}\left(\frac{4}{\epsilon}\right)$ if  $f_i(D) + \nu_i \ge \hat{T}$  then Output  $a_i = \top$ . Halt. else Output  $a_i = \bot$ . end if end for

## An example: above threshold

Algorithm 1 Input is a private database D, an adaptively chosen stream of sensitivity 1 queries  $f_1, \ldots$ , and a threshold T. Output is a stream of responses  $a_1, \ldots$ 

AboveThreshold $(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ Let  $\hat{T} = T + \text{Lap}\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)$ . for Each query *i* do Let  $\nu_i = \text{Lap}\left(\frac{4}{\epsilon}\right)$ if  $f_i(D) + \nu_i \ge \hat{T}$  then Output  $a_i = \top$ . Halt. else Output  $a_i = \bot$ . end if end for











In the worst case, the data analysis is (ɛ,0)-DP



In the worst case, the data analysis is (ε,0)-DP

It doesn't depend on the number of queries!

The formal proof manipulates the probabilities and uses an important fact about Laplace noise:

One can pay a privacy cost to guarantee that two samples are at a certain distance.

The formal proof manipulates the probabilities and uses an important fact about Laplace noise:

One can pay a privacy cost to guarantee that two samples are at a certain distance.



The formal proof manipulates the probabilities and uses an important fact about Laplace noise:

One can pay a privacy cost to guarantee that two samples are at a certain distance.



21

**Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

#### **Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

*Proof.* Fix any two neighboring databases D and D'. Let A denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThresh-old** $(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$  and let A' denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThreshold** $(D', \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ . The output of the algorithm is some realization of these random variables,  $a \in \{\top, \bot\}^k$  and has the form that for all i < k,  $a_i = \bot$  and  $a_k = \top$ .

#### **Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

*Proof.* Fix any two neighboring databases D and D'. Let A denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThresh-old** $(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$  and let A' denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThreshold** $(D', \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ . The output of the algorithm is some realization of these random variables,  $a \in \{\top, \bot\}^k$  and has the form that for all  $i < k, a_i = \bot$  and  $a_k = \top$ .

#### **Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

*Proof.* Fix any two neighboring databases D and D'. Let A denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThresh-old** $(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$  and let A' denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThreshold** $(D', \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ . The output of the algorithm is some realization of these random variables,  $a \in \{\top, \bot\}^k$  and has the form that for all i < k,  $a_i = \bot$  and  $a_k = \top$ .

#### **Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

Proof. Fix any two neighboring databases D and D'. Let A denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThresh** $old(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$  and let A' denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThreshold** $(D', \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ . The output of the algorithm is some realization of these random variables,  $a \in \{\top, \bot\}^k$  and has the form that for all i < k,  $a_i = \bot$  and  $a_k = \top$ . There are two types of random variables internal to the algorithm: the noisy threshold  $\hat{T}$  and the perturbations to each of the k queries,  $\{\nu_i\}_{i=1}^k$ . For the following analysis, we will fix the (arbitrary) values of  $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$  and take probabilities over the randomness of  $\nu_k$  and  $\hat{T}$ . Define the following quantity representing the maximum noisy value of any query  $f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}$  evaluated on D:

$$g(D) = \max_{i < k} \left( f_i(D) + \nu_i \right)$$

#### **Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

Proof. Fix any two neighboring databases D and D'. Let A denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThresh** $old(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$  and let A' denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThreshold** $(D', \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ . The output of the algorithm is some realization of these random variables,  $a \in \{\top, \bot\}^k$  and has the form that for all i < k,  $a_i = \bot$  and  $a_k = \top$ . There are two types of random variables internal to the algorithm: the noisy threshold  $\hat{T}$  and the perturbations to each of the k queries,  $\{\nu_i\}_{i=1}^k$ . For the following analysis, we will fix the (arbitrary) values of  $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$  and take probabilities over the randomness of  $\nu_k$  and  $\hat{T}$ . Define the following quantity representing the maximum noisy value of any query  $f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}$  evaluated on D:

$$g(D) = \max_{i < k} \left( f_i(D) + \nu_i \right)$$

#### **Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

Proof. Fix any two neighboring databases D and D'. Let A denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThresh-** $\mathbf{old}(D, \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$  and let A' denote the random variable representing the output of **AboveThreshold** $(D', \{f_i\}, T, \epsilon)$ . The output of the algorithm is some realization of these random variables,  $a \in \{\top, \bot\}^k$  and has the form that for all i < k,  $a_i = \bot$  and  $a_k = \top$ . There are two types of random variables internal to the algorithm: the noisy threshold  $\hat{T}$  and the perturbations to each of the k queries,  $\{\nu_i\}_{i=1}^k$ . For the following analysis, we will fix the (arbitrary) values of  $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$  and take probabilities over the randomness of  $\nu_k$  and  $\hat{T}$ . Define the following quantity representing the maximum noisy value of any query  $f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}$  evaluated on D:

$$g(D) = \max_{i < k} \left( f_i(D) + \nu_i \right)$$

**Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

Note that fixing the values

of  $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$  (which makes g(D) a deterministic quantity), we have:

$$\Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_{k}}[A=a] = \Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_{k}}[\hat{T} > g(D) \text{ and } f_{k}(D) + \nu_{k} \ge \hat{T}]$$

**Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

Note that fixing the values

of  $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$  (which makes g(D) a deterministic quantity), we have:

$$\Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_k}[A=a] = \Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_k}[\hat{T} > g(D) \text{ and } f_k(D) + \nu_k \ge \hat{T}]$$

This account for all the queries below the threshold.
**Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

Note that fixing the values

of  $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$  (which makes g(D) a deterministic quantity), we have:

$$\Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_{k}}[A=a] = \Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_{k}}[\hat{T} > g(D) \text{ and } f_{k}(D) + \nu_{k} \ge \hat{T}]$$

**Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

Note that fixing the values

of  $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$  (which makes g(D) a deterministic quantity), we have:

$$\Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_k}[A=a] = \Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_k}[\hat{T} > g(D) \text{ and } f_k(D) + \nu_k \ge \hat{T}]$$
$$= \Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_k}[\hat{T} \in (g(D), f_k(D) + \nu_k]]$$

**Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

Note that fixing the values

of  $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{k-1}$  (which makes g(D) a deterministic quantity), we have:

$$\Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_k}[A=a] = \Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_k}[\hat{T} > g(D) \text{ and } f_k(D) + \nu_k \ge \hat{T}]$$

$$= \Pr_{\hat{T},\nu_k}[\hat{T} \in (g(D), f_k(D) + \nu_k]]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = v]$$

$$\cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = t]\mathbf{1}[t \in (g(D), f_k(D) + v]]dvdt$$

**Theorem:** AboveThreshold is ε-differentially private

We now make a change of variables. Define:

$$\hat{v} = v + g(D) - g(D') + f_k(D') - f_k(D)$$
  
 $\hat{t} = t + g(D) - g(D')$ 

and note that for any  $D, D', |\hat{v} - v| \leq 2$  and  $|\hat{t} - t| \leq 1$ .

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t + g(D) - g(D'))$$
$$\in (g(D), f_k(D') + v + g(D) - g(D')]] dv dt$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t + g(D) - g(D'))$$
  

$$\in (g(D), f_k(D') + v + g(D) - g(D')]] dv dt$$
  

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v)]] dv dt$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t + g(D) - g(D')) \\ &\quad \in (g(D), f_k(D') + v + g(D) - g(D')]] dv dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v)] dv dt \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\epsilon/2) \Pr[\nu_k = v] \\ &\quad \cdot \exp(\epsilon/2) \Pr[\hat{T} = t] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v)] dv dt \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t + g(D) - g(D')) \\ &\quad \in (g(D), f_k(D') + v + g(D) - g(D')]] dv dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v]] dv dt \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\epsilon/2) \Pr[\nu_k = v] \\ &\quad \cdot \exp(\epsilon/2) \Pr[\hat{T} = t] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v]] dv dt \\ &= \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{\hat{T}, \nu_k}[\hat{T} > g(D') \text{ and } f_k(D') + \nu_k \ge \hat{T}] \\ &= \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{\hat{T}, \nu_k}[A' = a] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t + g(D) - g(D')) \\ &\quad \in (g(D), f_k(D') + v + g(D) - g(D')]] dv dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v)] dv dt \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\epsilon/2) \Pr[\nu_k = v] \\ &\quad \cdot \exp(\epsilon/2) \Pr[\hat{T} = t] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v)] dv dt \\ &= \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{\hat{T}, \nu_k}[\hat{T} > g(D') \text{ and } f_k(D') + \nu_k \ge \hat{T}] \\ &= \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{\hat{T}, \nu_k}[A' = a] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t + g(D) - g(D')) \\ &\quad \in (g(D), f_k(D') + v + g(D) - g(D')]] dv dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr[\nu_k = \hat{v}] \cdot \Pr[\hat{T} = \hat{t}] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v)] dv dt \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\epsilon/2) \Pr[\nu_k = v] \\ &\quad \cdot \exp(\epsilon/2) \Pr[\hat{T} = t] \mathbf{1}[(t \in (g(D'), f_k(D') + v)] dv dt \\ &= \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{\hat{T}, \nu_k}[\hat{T} > g(D') \text{ and } f_k(D') + \nu_k \ge \hat{T}] \\ &= \exp(\epsilon) \Pr_{\hat{T}, \nu_k}[A' = a] \end{split}$$