Algorithm Design and Analysis



LECTURES 17 Network Flow •Duality of Max Flow and Min Cut •Algorithms:

- •Ford-Fulkerson
- •Capacity Scaling

Sofya Raskhodnikova

S. Raskhodnikova; based on slides by E. Demaine, C. Leiserson, A. Smith, K. Wayne L17.1

Network Flow

Minimum Cut Problem

Flow network.

- Abstraction for material flowing through the edges.
- G = (V, E) = directed graph, no parallel edges.
- Two distinguished nodes: s = source, t = sink.
- c(e) = capacity of edge e.



Cuts

Def. An s-t cut is a partition (A, B) of V with $s \in A$ and $t \in B$.

Def. The capacity of a cut (A, B) is: $cap(A, B) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e)$



Cuts

Def. An s-t cut is a partition (A, B) of V with $s \in A$ and $t \in B$.



Minimum Cut Problem

Min s-t cut problem. Find an s-t cut of minimum capacity.



Flows



Maximum Flow Problem

Max flow problem. Find s-t flow of maximum value.



Flows and Cuts

Flow value lemma. Let f be any flow, and let (A, B) be any s-t cut. Then the net flow sent across the cut is equal to the amount leaving s.

 $\sum_{e \text{ out of } A} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ in to } A} f(e) = v(f)$



Flows and Cuts

Weak duality. Let f be any flow. Then, for any s-t cut (A, B), $v(f) \leq \text{cap}(A, B).$

Proof.

$$v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ in to } A} f(e)$$

$$\leq \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} f(e)$$

$$e \text{ out of } A$$

$$\leq \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e)$$

$$= \operatorname{cap}(A, B) \quad \bullet$$



Review Questions

True/False

Let G be an arbitrary flow network, with a source s, and sink t, and a positive integer capacity c_e on every edge e.

1) If f is a maximum s-t flow in G, then f saturates every edge out of s with flow (i.e., for all edges e out of s, we have $f(e) = c_e$).

2) Let (A,B) be a minimum s-t cut with respect to these capacities . If we add 1 to every capacity, then (A,B) is still a minimum s-t cut with respect to the new capacities.

Towards a Max Flow Algorithm

Greedy algorithm.

- Start with f(e) = 0 for all edges $e \in E$.
- Find an s-t path P where each edge has f(e) < c(e).
- Augment flow along path P.
- Repeat until you get stuck.



Towards a Max Flow Algorithm

Greedy algorithm.

- Start with f(e) = 0 for all edges $e \in E$.
- Find an s-t path P where each edge has f(e) < c(e).
- Augment flow along path P.
- Repeat until you get stuck.



Towards a Max Flow Algorithm

Greedy algorithm.

- Start with f(e) = 0 for all edge $e \in E$.
- Find an s-t path P where each edge has f(e) < c(e).
- Augment flow along path P.
- Repeat until you get stuck.

 $^{\checkmark}$ locally optimality \Rightarrow global optimality





How can we get from greedy to opt here? What if we **push water back** across middle edge?

Residual Graph

Original edge: $e = (u, v) \in E$.

Flow f(e), capacity c(e).



Residual edge.

- "Undo" flow sent.
- e = (u, v) and e^R = (v, u).
- Residual capacity:

$$c_f(e) = \begin{cases} c(e) - f(e) & \text{if } e \in E \\ f(e) & \text{if } e^R \in E \end{cases}$$



Residual graph: $G_f = (V, E_f)$.

- Residual edges with positive residual capacity.
- $E_f = \{e : f(e) < c(e)\} \cup \{e^R : c(e) > 0\}.$

Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm





Augmenting Path Algorithm

```
Ford-Fulkerson(G, s, t, c) {
   foreach e \in E, f(e) \leftarrow 0
   G<sub>f</sub> \leftarrow residual graph
   while (there exists augmenting path P) {
      f \leftarrow Augment(f, c, P)
      update G<sub>f</sub>
   }
   return f
}
```

```
Augment(f, c, P) {

    b \leftarrow bottleneck-capacity(P)

    foreach e \in P {

        if (e \in E) f(e) \leftarrow f(e) + b

        else f(e<sup>R</sup>) \leftarrow f(e<sup>R</sup>) - b

    }

    return f

}
```

Min residual capacity of an edge in P

```
forward edge
reverse edge
```

Ford-Fulkerson: Analysis

Ford-Fulkerson summary:

- While you can,
 - Greedily push flow
 - Update residual graph

Lemma 1 (Feasibility): Ford-Fulkerson outputs a valid flow. Proof: Check capacity and conservation conditions. (Details in KT)

Still to do:

- Optimality: Does it output a maximum flow?
- Running time (in particular, termination!)

Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

Augmenting path theorem. Flow f is a max flow iff there are no augmenting paths.

Max-flow min-cut theorem. [Ford-Fulkerson 1956] The value of the max flow is equal to the value of the min cut.

Proof strategy. We prove both simultaneously by showing that TFAE:

- (i) There exists a cut (A, B) such that v(f) = cap(A, B).
- (ii) Flow f is a max flow.
- (iii) There is no augmenting path relative to f.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) This was the corollary to weak duality lemma.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) We show contrapositive.

• Let f be a flow. If there exists an augmenting path, then we can improve f by sending flow along path.

Proof of Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

(iii) ⇒ (i)

- . Let f be a flow with no augmenting paths.
- Let A be set of vertices reachable from s in residual graph.
- By definition of A, source $s \in A$.
- By definition of f, sink $t \notin A$.
- Observation: No edges of the residual graph go from A to B.
- Claim 1: If e goes from A to B, then f(e) =c(e).

Proof: Otherwise there would be residual capacity, and the residual graph would have an edge A to B.

 Claim 2: If e goes from B to A, then f(e)=0.
 Proof: Otherwise residual edge would go from A to B.



Ford-Fulkerson: Analysis

Ford-Fulkerson summary:

- While you can,
 - Greedily push flow
 - Update residual graph

Lemma 1 (Feasibility): Ford-Fulkerson outputs a valid flow.

Augmenting path theorem. Flow f is a max flow iff there are no augmenting paths.

Optimality: If Ford-Fulkerson terminates then the output is a max flow.

Still to do:

• Running time (in particular, termination!)