

DS 457 (A1): Law for Algorithms, DS 657 (A1): Law for Algorithms

Spring 2022 | Gabriel Kaptchuk

14	Students Enrolled
5	Students Responded
35.71%	Response Rate

Quantitative

<i>Please answer the following questions:</i>	1 - Poor	2 - Fair	3 - Good	4 - Very Good	5 - Excellent	N	DNA	SD	M
Relevance of assigned readings	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	60% (3)	5	0	0.8	4.4
Difficulty of course	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	40% (2)	0% (0)	5	0	0.75	3.2
Workload in course	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	0% (0)	20% (1)	5	0	0.98	3.2
Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	20% (1)	40% (2)	5	0	0.89	4
Overall rating of lecture instructor (if applicable)	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	60% (3)	5	0	0.8	4.4
Usefulness of assignments and papers	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	20% (1)	40% (2)	5	0	1.17	3.8
Overall course rating	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	5	0	1.17	4.2

<i>Please answer the following questions:</i>	1 - Poor	2 - Fair	3 - Good	4 - Very Good	5 - Excellent	N	DNA	SD	M
Effectiveness in explaining concepts	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	60% (3)	5	0	0.8	4.4
Ability to sustain interest in subject	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	5	0	0.49	4.6
Encouragement of class participation	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	20% (1)	40% (2)	5	0	0.89	4
Fairness in evaluating student work	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)	5	0	0.75	3.8
Promptness in returning assignments	20% (1)	60% (3)	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5	0	0.63	2
Quality of feedback to students	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	40% (2)	20% (1)	5	0	1.02	3.6
Availability outside of class	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	60% (3)	40% (2)	5	0	0.49	4.4
Overall rating of instructor	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	5	0	0.49	4.6

<i>Please answer the following questions:</i>	1 - Poor	2 - Fair	3 - Good	4 - Very Good	5 - Excellent	N	DNA	SD	M
Ability to increase student interest in subjects	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	40% (2)	5	0	1.2	3.6
Preparation and organization	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	40% (2)	5	0	1.2	3.6
Presentation of course topics	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	40% (2)	5	0	1.2	3.6
Ability to increase student understanding of challenging topics	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	40% (2)	5	0	1.2	3.6
Gave useful, detailed criticism of student work	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	40% (2)	5	0	1.2	3.6
Fairness in evaluating student work	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	40% (2)	5	0	1.2	3.6
Overall rating of TF	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	40% (2)	5	0	1.2	3.6

Qualitative

Please provide any additional comments about the TF: -
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Never interacted with them, didn't know we had one.