Evaluating Robustness of Workload-Aware Partitioning Schemes

Sumer Rathinam, Jake Bloomfeld, Keith Lovett

Overview





How do Workloads Vary?

Variance in Workload
Query Type 1 Query Type 3 Query Type 2 Query Type 4
Different Types of Queries
Different Number of Queries
Different Position of Queries

By type...

By number...

By position...

Problem





Casper: Optimal Workload-Aware Column Layout for HTAP

A storage engine that navigates the design space of the leading column's physical layout





Noisy w/ Baseline Noisy w/ Optimal Baseline Input: Input: Input: W₂ W_1 W_2 **P**₁ P_1 **P**₂ Output: Output: Output: C_1 13

Design Process

Effects of Noise

$$\% \Delta = \frac{f(W_2, P_2) - f(W_2, P_1)}{f(W_2, P_2)}$$
Noisy W/
Baseline
Level of
Robustness

Evaluates the % change of the cost of running the "noisy" workload with the baseline partitioning scheme, compared to running that same workload with its optimal partitioning scheme





Counts Experiments

Insert Queries:

- Increase total counts in 5% intervals
- Up to 25% of baseline
- □ Keep position the same

Point Queries:

- Increase total counts in 5% intervals
- □ Up to 25% of baseline
- Keep position the same

Position Experiments

Insert Queries:

- Increase total position ranges by 5%
- Decrease total position ranges by 5%
- Keep total counts the same

Point Queries:

- Increase total position ranges by 5%
- Decrease total position ranges by 5%
- Keep total counts the same



Insert Queries

Insert Query Counts Performance 0.008 0.0006 0.006 0.0004 % Change in Cost % Change in Cost 0.004 0.0002 0.002 0 0 20 25 -2 2 0 5 10 15 -6 -4 0 4 6 Counts increase in % Position Changes in %

Insert Query Position Performance

Point Queries

Point Query Counts Performance



Point Query Position Performance



"

Casper is more robust when dealing with a change in total number of a certain query rather than a change in where that query occurs. Thanks! Any questions?