ABSTRACT
This paper outlines the vision for a new type of software-shaped platforms, or SOSh platforms for short, that can be implemented in commercial CPU+FPGA platforms. At the core of the SOSh paradigm is the idea of exposing direct control over the flow of data exchanged between hardware components in embedded System-on-Chips (SoC). Data flow manipulation primitives are synthesized in reprogrammable hardware and interposed between central processors, memory modules, and I/O devices. A new layer of system software is then introduced to leverage such primitives and to achieve fine-grained control and introspection over the interaction of SoC resources. By turning memory and I/O data flows into manageable entities, a new degree of internal awareness can be achieved in complex systems. We first review recent works that are well aligned with the concept of data flow manipulation primitives that can be deployed in SOSh platforms. Next, we outline future research avenues concerning the use of the SOSh paradigm for workload profiling and prediction, to implement advanced memory models, and to perform security threat identification and mitigation.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Computing systems with strict reliability and confidentiality requirements support the modern world and society. Avionic systems, nuclear plant supervisory systems, orbit controllers in satellites, driverless cars, and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) are a few notable examples, often referred to as safety-critical systems. But safety alone is not enough. Modern safety-critical systems are expected to build and exploit knowledge of their environment and make complex decisions based on a multitude of sensory inputs. In other words, they must meet ever-evolving expectations about their ability to intelligently interact with their environmental context. We refer to one such ability as environmental awareness.

At the same time, a safety-critical system must continue to function despite unexpected overloads or failures. To do so, predicting and assessing overload conditions, violation of timing requirements, and presence of security threats is equally important. In many ways, we demand that our systems become aware of their internals to tell apart what is expected from what is aberrant. This form of internal awareness is in stark contrast with the aforementioned environmental awareness.

Indeed, achieving environmental awareness calls for an increase in system complexity. The fusion of high-bandwidth sensing devices, the addition of specialized hardware accelerators, and the use of machine-learning data-intensive analytics are now commonplace. The result is a highly complex, often under-optimized software stack on top of equally sophisticated hardware. As system complexity soars, it is impossible to fully understand and predict the exact interplay between software components, software and hardware, or even between individual hardware modules. This fundamental lack of understanding severely limits the degree of internal awareness that can be achieved in traditional computing systems.

Data Flows as Assets: In complex systems, having static knowledge of all the emerging interactions between hardware and software components is hard, if not impossible. Thus, towards achieving internal awareness, it is necessary to have direct control over all interactions in the system. Such interactions occur as flows of data exchanged between components.

The need to enact precise monitoring and control over on-chip data flows is not a novel idea. Radical system redesigns like CoQoS [7] and PARD [9] pioneered this principle more than a decade ago. What is novel is the intuition (corroborated with a substantial array of practical use cases) that emerging trends in augmenting existing SoCs with an on-chip programmable logic (FPGA) empower seamless and continuous observability and control over on-chip data flows. This implies that (1) advanced flow analysis and control primitives can be carried out in existing commercial hardware and (2) that the original vision of QoS-oriented data flow management can be significantly broadened.
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and the semantics and timing of downstream data accesses can be impacted by programmable logic (programmability). Coherence backstabling enables the CAESAR approach for memory traffic management, which extends PLIM capabilities in three main ways. First, CAESAR enables memory traffic to follow a route that is an alternative to what is followed with traditional memory-mapped semantics used by the original PLIM approach. Because such a route bypasses slower SoC interconnects meant for I/O traffic, it also enables lower through-FPGA re-routing overheads. The results outlined in [15] suggest that using the coherence port unlocks up to 40% better throughput for read traffic compared to using memory-mapped semantics. Second, it allows re-routing data and metadata (e.g. access timestamps and physical addresses) with different trade-offs between seamlessness and resulting overheads. Third, it enables dynamic activation of the alternative route (for cache-line refill traffic) without the need to modify page-table translations.

4 SOFTWARE-SHAPED PLATFORMS

At the core of the SOSH paradigm is the idea of exposing direct control over the flow of data exchanged between hardware components in an embedded System-on-Chip (SoC). Data flow manipulation primitives are synthesized in reprogrammable hardware and interposed between central processors, memory modules, and I/O devices. The SOSH paradigm leverages PDR capabilities to define management and monitoring primitives as needed. For instance, a SOSH platform can instantiate-on-the-fly hardware modules to perform accurate application profiling; gather online statistics about the usage of hardware resources; enforce I/O data pre-processing and filtering rules; re-routing application traffic.

On the other hand, the dynamically-instantiated modules leverage PLIM and CAESAR techniques to enact programmable management policies for on-chip data flows. The deployed PLIM/CAESAR components allow exporting on-demand and fine-grained profiling and control mechanisms back to the software layers. Thus, a new layer of system software is then introduced to leverage such primitives; and to achieve fine-grained control and introspection over the interaction of SoC resources. Further run-time PDR policies can get triggered based on the nourished real-time profile. By turning memory and I/O data flows into manageable entities, a new degree of self-awareness can be achieved in complex systems.

As suggested by the name, a SOSH platform can be re-shaped as needed by the software in light of the current operating conditions. And while doing so, the software can retain control and observability over the most performance-critical inner-most hardware-application interactions.

Data Flow Management Templates: Fig. 2 provides an overview of the key super-classes of data-flow management primitives—depicted as black boxes—that SOSH platforms can instantiate. Merging primitives allow enacting complex/programmable rules to join data flows originated by different modules. An example is provided in Section 5.1. Reordering/Filtering primitives will carry stream-processing operations to (1) reduce the amount of moved data and (2) optionally perform re-ordering to improve locality. A concrete example of one such block is presented in Section 5.2. Next, Profiling/Logging primitives support extracting data flow characteristics for prediction and state/progress tracking of application workload, as briefly described in Section 5.3. Lastly, Splitting primitives will allow selectively re-routing sub-flows to improve timing and/or relieve congestion.

5 EXISTING SOSH MODULES

This section briefly reviews concrete designs and implementations of data flow operation blocks that have been studied in the past. These represent a bootstrap to the library of modules that a SOSH platform can instantiate.

5.1 Scheduler in-the-Middle (SchIM)

The Scheduler in-the-Middle, or SchIM for short, was proposed in [6]. The SchIM is a configurable module that leverages PLIM to interpose itself between the last-level cache (LLC) of the CPU cluster and the memory controller in a CPU+FPGA system. By recognizing the regions of physical memory mapped to the different software partitions, the SchIM can classify the traffic source on a per-core and potentially per-application basis. By leveraging this information, the SchIM performs memory transaction-level scheduling following policies that can be customized and configured at runtime by the software. The original work showcased two proof-of-concept memory scheduling policies: Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) and Fixed Priority (FP), while Traffic Shaping (TS) has also been incorporated more recently.

The SchIM is a good example of a SOSH block providing data flow merging (see Fig. 2) operations. So long as the source of the traffic can be identified, the SchIM allows the software layer to define the logic according to which memory transactions originated by different upstream components—in this case, different CPUs—are forwarded to a downstream component—in this case, main memory.

Fig. 3 was obtained with a similar setup as that in [6], and it demonstrates the fine-grained level of control that the SchIM introduces when deployed and configured to policy the traffic originating...
5.2 Relational Memory Engine (RME)

Roozkhosh et al. [16] propose the concept and implementation of the Relational Memory Engine (RME). The RME represents an excellent instance of a data flow reordering/filtering operational block (see Fig. 2). Indeed, the RME is a configurable near-data transformation engine positioned between main memory and LLC. The engine captures CPU-originated memory requests and converts on-the-fly data from a row-major format to arbitrary column groups by leveraging the PLIM paradigm [17]. A high-level visual representation of the engine is provided in Fig. 4.

Relational data are organized in relational tables with tuples stored as rows containing several columns (also called attributes). The data is physically laid out either in a row-first format or column-first format. Maintaining each row together is beneficial for workloads that target reading or updating individual rows—i.e., transactional workloads—while storing data in column-first fashion benefits more workloads that calculate aggregates and statistics of large chunks of data—i.e., analytical workloads. Traditional data management systems have been aiming to support one of the two workloads, with a recognizable slotted transmission pattern. Finally, in Fig. 3(c), TS is used to assign to $C_3$, twice the bandwidth allocated to the other cores. This results in the visible separation between the rate of transmissions from $Q_3$ and that from the other queues in Fig. 3.

Figure 3: Trace of memory transactions collected on-chip via a real-hardware instantiation of the SchiLM module [6].

5.3 Silent Application Profiler

Coherence backstabbing and the CAESAR approach [15] (see Section 2) open the door to the design and implementation of non-intrusive profiling/logging data flow operation blocks. Perhaps most prominently, the concept of a silent application profiler, called the Silent Observer, was proposed in [15]. The profiler is capable of collecting important and fine-grained metadata about the main memory traffic produced by the CPUs. In particular, by monitoring the CP (see Fig. 1), the module collects the physical addresses of all the cache lines that were accessed and resulted in a cache miss in the LLC. In the same way, the exact timestamp of those transactions is provided in Fig. 4.

Figure 4: High-level organization of the RME. When the CPU makes a request for a cache line of reorganized data, main memory is accessed. Useful data items are extracted on the fly based on the provided geometry specification, and cache lines with only useful data are issued in response [16].
Figure 5: High-level operational principle of a CAESAR-aided memory profiler. The module passively listens to coherent interconnect-generated snoop transactions, which carry the timestamp and physical address of requested cache lines. These metadata are logged on a dedicated scratchpad [15].

Figure 6: Partial visualization of memory traces obtained via the CAESAR-aided profiling module by observing the memory traffic generated by two SD-VBS [18] applications, namely (a) tracking and (b) mser [15].

profiling technique. The two insets depict a partial visualization of the memory traffic generated by two applications. These are (a) tracking and (b) mser from the San Diego Vision Benchmarks Suite (SD-VBS) [18]. In particular, the top plot in each inset shows the cumulative number of memory transactions (y-axis) over time (x-axis). The bottom plot in each inset focuses on those 100 pages recorded to have the largest number of accesses throughout the profiled runs. For each of them (y-axis), each cell in the heatmap is colored on a blue-green gradient scale to encode the number of accesses to cache lines within that page over a discretized notion of time (x-axis). The qualitative difference between insets (a) and (b) is representative of the different characteristic memory access patterns of the two applications.

Importantly, instead of being logged for later analysis, the information extracted by the profiling module can be stream-processed to inform the software layers of a SOSH platform about application progress, unexpected access pattern behaviors, and/or to detect the usage of and enforce memory bandwidth reservation quotas.

6 ENVISIONED SOSH CAPABILITIES

The concrete instances of data flow operation blocks reviewed in Section 5.1–5.3 highlight the feasibility of the SOSH paradigm. More research is required to complement the SOSH vision with key additional capabilities. A non-exhaustive list of such capabilities that we envision to be within reach is reviewed in the following.

6.1 Progress Tracking and Behavior Prediction

Progress Tracking: When an application with a known profile is launched on a SOSH platform, the corresponding profile can be used to monitor its progress and anticipate its needs. A progress tracking module that leverages data-flow monitoring can be designed by recognizing the characteristic fingerprint [4] of different execution phases in the application under analysis. Tracking application progress can provide unprecedented internal awareness in a SOSH platform. First, clashes over system resources between two or more co-running applications can be easily predicted and
averted. Second, it is possible to discover if an application is running behind or ahead of schedule. Third, application misbehavior due to software bugs or security breaches can be discovered.

**Planning Ahead:** Most importantly, the ability to track the progress of applications enables tighter cooperation between the platform and the software. Being able to anticipate an application’s flow through stages enables strategies to plan ahead. Simply put, the SOSH platform has a way of enacting a number of preparatory actions to optimize the execution of subsequent phases for tracked applications. Indeed, when the two tasks are executed in parallel on different CPUs, they may contend for memory (or I/O) bandwidth allocation. The degree of contention depends on their profiles and their partial progress in time. Given that this information can be tracked in SOSH platforms, the sustainable bandwidth of a targeted resource can be distributed to contending requestors in a way that is aware of each application’s temporal constraint (deadline).

### 6.2 Advanced Memory Models

By adopting the SOSH paradigm it is possible to dynamically redefine the semantics of memory resources.

**Historical Memory:** Debugging parallel applications is often problematic because, when a thread is paused to inspect memory content, the temporal interaction of the various threads is impacted. By leveraging data-flow manipulation, a more convenient memory semantic can be defined, which we refer to as *historical memory*. In a nutshell, a debugger can deploy a module to monitor a given (set of) memory block(s). Accesses to the monitored blocks are served according to usual read/write semantics. At the same time, a log is created reporting which thread has manipulated any of the monitored memory locations along with the corresponding timestamp of each operation. A similar model can also implement low-overhead application snapshotting and checkpointing.

**Self-Destructing Memory:** The SOSH paradigm also enables the creation at runtime of memory objects for which an expiration policy is defined. Consider the case where an application is provided with a secret—e.g., an encryption key—to be used only once during execution. After the key has been used, it should be discarded to minimize the chances of its content being leaked. In this case, a SOSH module can be deployed to monitor any access to the in-memory secret. The module can then allow a single access to the content of the secret, after which the content of the secret is erased. Self-destructing memory semantics can be particularly useful to implement One-Time Programs [5], i.e., programs that can be only run once. This basic model can be further generalized. It is possible to create memory content that automatically expires after a given time. Similarly, it is possible to trigger memory self-destruction as an application progresses past those execution phases that require access to the secret.

### 6.3 Security Threat Mitigation

SOSH platforms can also harden themselves against security vulnerabilities that arise from hardware design flaws.

**Memory Privilege Bypass:** The discovery and public release of the Meltdown vulnerability [8] have highlighted the complex interplay between performance-enhancing speculative execution and address space isolation. At the core of the Meltdown vulnerability, there is a violation of a fundamental invariant. That is, while a processor is executing an unprivileged application, a data transaction towards a privileged memory area is initiated. In a SOSH platform, it is possible to intervene by appropriately policing data flows and preventing the completion of cache line refills that, if completed, would leak sensitive information.

**Detection of Malware Activity:** Signature-based malware detection has been extensively used to identify threats. It can also be efficiently implemented and it introduces low runtime overheads. Unfortunately, most of today’s malware is able to alter its signature and avoid detection. Behavior-based malware detection can be employed instead to detect malware capable of altering its signature. Traditionally, sandboxing is used to perform behavior-based detection. A capability made possible with the SOSH paradigm is a new, low-overhead approach for behavior-based detection. By leveraging data-flow monitoring, it is possible to analyze the behavior of untrusted software components by analyzing their interaction with memory resources and I/O devices.

### 7 CONCLUSION

Internal awareness—i.e., understanding and control over the internal interplay of system components—is lost as software and hardware layers grow in complexity. The rise in complexity follows the broadened expectations for environmental awareness in modern safety-critical systems. We propose a novel paradigm of software-shaped (SOSH) platforms aiming to restore strong internal awareness without trading off complexity. SOSH platforms are designed to be implementable in modern FPGA+CPU SoCs and leverage on-chip programmable logic to instantiate data flow control and manipulation primitives. We reviewed existing works that have proposed data flow management modules already well-aligned with the SOSH vision. Finally, we discuss a number of future capabilities that are attainable to complement the SOSH vision.
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