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• Deterministic Finite Automata (DFAs)
  • Informal description: State diagram
  • Formal description: What are they?
  • Formal description: How do they compute?

• A language is regular if it is recognized by a DFA

• Intro to Nondeterministic FAs
A Nondeterministic Finite Automaton (NFA) accepts if there exists a way to make it reach an accept state.
Some special transitions

- **$\varepsilon$-transitions** (don’t consume a symbol)
- Multiple transitions
- No transition
Example

$L(N) = \begin{align*}
\text{a)} & \{w \mid w \text{ contains 00 or 01}\} \\
\text{b)} & \{w \mid \text{the second to last symbol of } w \text{ is 0}\} \\
\text{c)} & \{w \mid w \text{ starts with 00 or 01}\} \\
\text{d)} & \{w \mid w \text{ ends with 001}\}
\end{align*}$
Formal Definition of a NFA

An NFA is a 5-tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

- $Q$ is the set of states
- $\Sigma$ is the alphabet
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow P(Q)$ is the transition function
- $q_0 \in Q$ is the start state
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states

$M$ accepts a string $w$ if there exists a path from $q_0$ to an accept state that can be followed by reading $w$. 
Example

\[ N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F) \]

- \( Q = \{ q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 \} \)
- \( \Sigma = \{ 0, 1 \} \)
- \( F = \{ q_3 \} \)

\[ \delta(q_0, 0) = \emptyset q_0 q_3 \]
\[ \delta(q_0, 1) = \emptyset q_0, q_1, q_3 \]
\[ \delta(q_1, \varepsilon) = \emptyset q_2 \]
\[ \delta(q_2, 0) = \emptyset \]
\[ s(q_0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset \]
Nondeterminism

Ways to think about nondeterminism

- (restricted) parallel computation
- tree of possible computations
- guessing and verifying the “right” choice
Why study NFAs?

• Not really a realistic model of computation: Real computing devices can’t really try many possibilities in parallel

But:

• Useful tool for understanding power of DFAs/regular languages
• NFAs can be simpler than DFAs
• Lets us study “nondeterminism” as a resource (cf. P vs. NP)
NFAs can be simpler than DFAs

A DFA that recognizes the language \( \{ w \mid w \text{ starts with 0 and ends with 1} \} \):

An NFA for this language:
Equivalence of NFAs and DFAs
Equivalence of NFAs and DFAs

Every DFA is an NFA, so NFAs are at least as powerful as DFAs

\[
\text{regular languages} \subseteq \text{class of languages recognized by NFAs}
\]

**Theorem:** For every NFA \( N \), there is a DFA \( M \) such that \( L(M) = L(N) \)

**Corollary:** A language is regular if and only if it is recognized by an NFA
Equivalence of NFAs and DFAs (Proof)

Let $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ be an NFA

Goal: Construct DFA $M = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F')$ recognizing $L(N)$

Intuition: Run all threads of $N$ in parallel, maintaining the set of states where all threads are.

Formally: $Q' = P(Q)$

“The Subset Construction”
NFA -> DFA Example

N =

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{a} \\
\downarrow \\
1 \\
\text{b}
\end{array} \]

M =

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{a} \\
\downarrow \\
0 \\
\phi \\
\downarrow \\
1 \\
\phi \\
\downarrow \\
0,1 \\
\phi \\
\downarrow \\
0,1 \\
\phi
\end{array} \]

\[ L(N) = \{ \varepsilon, b \} \]
Subset Construction (Formally, first attempt)

Input: \( N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F) \)

Output: \( M = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F') \) \[ \text{s.t.} \ 7(M) = 7(N) \]

\[ Q' = \rho(\emptyset) = \{ q \in Q \mid q \text{ is final in } N \} \]

\[ \delta' : Q' \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q' \]

\[ \delta'(R, \sigma) = \bigcup_{r \in R} \delta(r, \sigma) \quad \text{for all } R \subseteq Q \text{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma. \]

\[ q_0' = q_0 \]

\[ F' = \{ R \mid R \text{ contains } q \text{ for some } q \in F \} \]
Subset Construction (Formally, for real)

Input: NFA \( N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F) \)
Output: DFA \( M = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F') \)

\[
Q' = P(Q)
\]

\[
\delta' : Q' \times \Sigma \to Q'
\]

\[
\delta'(R, \sigma) = \bigcup_{r \in R} \mathcal{E}(\delta(r, \sigma)) \quad \text{for all } R \subseteq Q \text{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma.
\]

\[
q'_0 = \mathcal{E}\left(\{q_0\}\right)
\]

\[
F' = \{ R \in Q' \mid R \text{ contains some accept state of } N \}
\]

Let \( \mathcal{E}(R) = \{ q \mid q \text{ in reachable from } R \text{ in one or more } \epsilon \text{-moves} \} \)
NFA -> DFA Example
Proving the Construction Works

Claim: For every string $w$, running $M$ on $w$ leads to state

$$\{ q \in Q | \text{There exists a computation path of } N \text{ on input } w \text{ ending at } q \}$$

Proof idea: By induction on $|w|$
Historical Note

Subset Construction introduced in Rabin & Scott’s 1959 paper “Finite Automata and their Decision Problems”

1976 ACM Turing Award Citation

For their joint paper "Finite Automata and Their Decision Problem," which introduced the idea of nondeterministic machines, which has proved to be an enormously valuable concept. Their (Scott & Rabin) classic paper has been a continuous source of inspiration for subsequent work in this field.
NFA -> DFA: The Catch

If $N$ is an NFA with $s$ states, how many states does the DFA obtained using the subset construction have? (In the worst case.)

\[ |Q'| = |\mathcal{P}(Q)| = 2^{|Q|} = 2^s \]

a) $s$

b) $s^2$

c) $2^s$

d) None of the above
Is this construction the best we can do?

Subset construction converts an \( n \) state NFA into a \( 2^n \)-state DFA

Could there be a construction that always produces, say, an \( n^2 \)-state DFA?

**Theorem:** For every \( n \geq 1 \), there is a language \( L_n \) such that
1. There is an \( (n + 1) \)-state NFA recognizing \( L_n \).
2. There is no DFA recognizing \( L_n \) with fewer than \( 2^n \) states.

**Conclusion:** For finite automata, nondeterminism provides an exponential savings over determinism (in the worst case).
Closure Properties
An Analogy

In algebra, we try to identify operations which are common to many different mathematical structures.

Example: The integers \( \mathbb{Z} = \{ \ldots -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \) are closed under:

- Addition: \( x + y \) \( 1 + 7 \in \mathbb{Z} \)
- Multiplication: \( x \times y \)
- Negation: \( -x \)
- ...but NOT Division: \( x / y \) \( 2/7 \notin \mathbb{Z} \)

We’d like to investigate similar closure properties of the class of regular languages.
Regular operations on languages

Let $A, B \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be languages. Define

**Union:** $A \cup B = \{w \mid w \in A \text{ or } w \in B\}$

**Concatenation:** $A \circ B = \{xy \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$

**Star:** $A^* = \{\varepsilon a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots a_n \mid n \geq 0, a_i \in A\}$

$= \varepsilon \cup A \cup (A \circ A) \cup (A \circ A \circ A) \cup \ldots$
Other operations

Let \( A, B \subseteq \Sigma^* \) be languages. Define

**Complement:** \( \overline{A} = \{ w \mid w \notin A \} \)

**Intersection:** \( A \cap B = \{ w \mid w \in A \text{ and } w \in B \} \)

**Reverse:** \( A^R = \{ w \mid w^R \in A \} \)
Closure properties of the regular languages

**Theorem:** The class of regular languages is closed under all three regular operations (union, concatenation, star), as well as under complement, intersection, and reverse.

i.e., if $A$ and $B$ are regular, applying any of these operations yields a regular language