# CS 535: Complexity Theory, Fall 2023 <br> Homework 4 <br> Due: 11:59PM, Tuesday, October 3, 2023. 

Reminder. Homework must be typeset with $\mathrm{AT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ preferred. Make sure you understand the course collaboration and honesty policy before beginning this assignment. Collaboration is permitted, but you must write the solutions by yourself without assistance. You must also identify your collaborators. Assignments missing a collaboration statement will not be accepted. Getting solutions from outside sources such as the Web or students not enrolled in the class is strictly forbidden.

Problem 1 (Padding and Time Hierarchies). Hint: Use part (a) to solve part (b).
(a) Show that if $f, g, t$ are time-constructible, and $\operatorname{DTIME}(f(n))=\operatorname{DTIME}(g(n))$, then $\operatorname{DTIME}(f(t(n)))=\operatorname{DTIME}(g(t(n)))$. (4 points)
(b) Show that DTIME $(n) \neq \operatorname{DTIME}(n \log n)$. (4 points)

Problem 2 (NP vs. PSPACE relative to an oracle). The Baker-Gill-Solovay Theorem (Theorem 3.7 in Arora-Barak) shows that there is an oracle $A$ relative to which $\mathbf{P}^{A} \neq \mathbf{N P}^{A}$. This problem will walk you through a proof that there is also an oracle $A$ relative to which $\mathbf{N P}^{A} \neq \mathbf{P S P A C E}^{A}$. This proof will hopefully illuminate a general recipe for proving oracle separations.
(a) A DNF formula $D$ is an OR of "terms," where each term is an AND of literals. The width of a DNF is the maximum number of literals appearing in any term and the size is the number of terms. For example, $\left(x_{1} \wedge \overline{x_{2}}\right) \vee\left(x_{2} \wedge x_{3} \wedge x_{4}\right)$ is a DNF of width 3 and size 2.

Believe it or not, the whole proof rests on the following simple combinatorial fact ${ }^{1}$ : The function $\mathrm{XOR}_{N}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_{N}$ cannot be computed by a DNF $D\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ of width $<N$. Prove this fact. (4 points)
(b) Another way to think of an oracle $A$ is as an infinitely long vector, indexed by binary strings. That is, for $z \in\{0,1\}^{*}$, let $A_{z}=1$ if $z \in A$ and $A_{z}=0$ if $z \notin A$. Recall that to query $A$, a TM can write a string $z$ to its oracle tape and receive the bit $A_{z}$.
For an oracle $A$, define the unary language

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\mathrm{XOR}}(A) & =\left\{1^{n} \mid \mathrm{XOR}_{2^{n}}\left(\left(A_{z}\right)_{z \in\{0,1\}^{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{1^{n} \mid z \in A \text { for an odd number of strings } z \in\{0,1\}^{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Show that $L_{\mathrm{XOR}}(A) \in \operatorname{PSPACE}^{A}$ for every oracle $A$. (4 points)

[^0](c) Now our job is construct an oracle $A$ for which $L_{\mathrm{XOR}}(A) \notin \mathbf{N P}^{A}$. We'll do this by first arguing that the output of an $\mathbf{N P}^{A}$ machine is just a DNF applied to the oracle $A$.
Let $M$ be a nondeterministic oracle Turing machine running in time $T(n)$. Show that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a DNF formula $D_{n}$ of width at most $T(n)$ and size at most $2^{O(T(n))}$ such that for every oracle $A$,
$$
M^{A}\left(1^{n}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow D_{n}(A)=1
$$
again regarding $A$ as an infinite vector $\left(A_{z}\right)_{z \in\{0,1\}^{*}}$. (4 points)
Hint 1: When run on an input of length $n$, the machine $M$ can query the oracle at most $T(n)$ times.
Hint 2: A binary tree of depth $T$ has at most $2^{T}$ leaves.
Your job is done now, but stick around for the exciting conclusion! Next we diagonalize against all nondeterministic machines running in time $T(n)=2^{n} / 10$ to instantiate the oracle A. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots$ be an enumeration of such machines, with each machine appearing infinitely often in the list. We construct an oracle $A^{*}$ such that for every machine $M_{i}$ in the enumeration, there exists an input $1^{n_{i}}$ such that $M_{i}^{A^{*}}\left(1^{n_{i}}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow 1^{n_{i}} \notin L_{\mathrm{XOR}}\left(A^{*}\right)$.

We construct $A^{*}$ iteratively as follows. We initialize $A^{*}$ to be the empty language, and in each round $i$, commit to including or excluding strings of a certain length $n_{i}$ in $A^{*}$. We choose $n_{i}$ large enough so that no string of length $n_{i}$ has had its fate decided in any previous round.

Let $D_{n_{i}}$ be the DNF formula guaranteed by part (c) capturing the behavior of machine $M_{i}$ on input $1^{n_{i}}$. By part (a), there exists an oracle $A^{(i)}$ such that

$$
D_{n_{i}}\left(A^{(i)}\right) \neq \operatorname{XOR}_{2^{n_{i}}}\left(\left(A_{z}^{(i)}\right)_{z \in\{0,1\}^{n_{i}}}\right) .
$$

Actually, something stronger is true. Since $\operatorname{XOR}_{2^{n}}(A)$ only depends on the values of $A_{z}$ for $z \in\{0,1\}^{n_{i}}$, we may assume that $A_{z}^{(i)}=A_{z}^{*}$ for every $|z|<n_{i}$.

Now for all of the (finitely many) $z$ for which the variable $A_{z}$ appears in the DNF $D_{n_{i}}$, set $A_{z}^{*}=A_{z}^{(i)}$. That is, for each such $z$, commit to including $z$ in $A^{*}$ if $z \in A^{(i)}$ and commit to excluding $z$ from $A^{*}$ if $z \notin A^{(i)}$. Then by part (b),

$$
M_{i}^{A^{*}}\left(1^{n_{i}}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow D_{n_{i}}\left(A^{*}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{XOR}_{2^{n_{i}}}\left(\left(A_{z}^{*}\right)_{z \in\{0,1\}^{n_{i}}}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow 1^{n_{i}} \notin L_{\mathrm{XOR}}\left(A^{*}\right)
$$

Let $M$ be any nondeterministic TM running in time $T_{M}(n)=\operatorname{poly}(n)$. Let $n_{*}$ be sufficiently large so that $T_{M}\left(n^{*}\right) \leq 2^{n^{*}} / 10$. Since $M$ appears infinitely often in our enumeration, there exists some $n \geq n_{*}$ such that $M^{A^{*}}\left(1^{n}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow 1^{n} \notin L_{\mathrm{XOR}}\left(A^{*}\right)$. Hence, $L_{\mathrm{XOR}}\left(A^{*}\right)$ is not decided by any poly-time NTM, so $L_{\mathrm{XOR}}\left(A^{*}\right) \notin \mathbf{N P}^{A^{*}}$.

On the other hand, we showed in part (b) that $L_{\mathrm{XOR}}(A) \in \mathrm{PSPACE}^{A}$ for every oracle $A$, and in particular for $A^{*}$. Hence $\mathbf{N P}^{A^{*}} \neq$ PSPACE $^{A *}$.

Problem 3 (*Bonus Problem*, NP vs. PSPACE relative to a random oracle). Are oracles that separate NP from PSPACE rare, or are they common? In this problem, you'll show that $\mathbf{N P}^{A} \neq \mathbf{P S P A C E}^{A}$ not only for some contrived oracle $A$, but for almost all oracles.
(a) Show that for sufficiently large $N$, for every DNF $D$ of width at most $\sqrt{N}$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{x \sim\{0,1\}^{N}}\left[D(x) \neq \mathrm{XOR}_{N}(x)\right] \geq 0.1 .
$$

(b) Let $A \subseteq\{0,1\}^{*}$ be a random oracle. That is, for every string $z \in\{0,1\}^{*}$, include $z$ in $A$ independently with probability $1 / 2$. Show that $\mathbf{N P}^{A} \neq \mathbf{P S P A C E}^{A}$ with probability at least 0.99 over the choice of the random oracle $A .{ }^{2}$

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Well, in the same way that Baker-Gill-Solovay rests on the fact that $\mathrm{OR}_{N}$ cannot be computed by a "decision tree" of depth $<N$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Complexity class separations satisfy a zero-one law, which implies that the probability of a separation is actually 1 .

