Intro to Theory of Computation





LECTURE 26

- Last time
- Space complexity
- The class PSPACE
- Today
- PSPACE-completeness
- Hierarchy theorems

Sofya Raskhodnikova



Let f(n) be a function, where $f(n) \ge n$.

If a language A is decided by a NTM that runs in space f(n) then A is decided by a TM that runs in space $O(f^2(n))$.

- A. True
- **B.** False
- C. Unknown
- **D.** None of the above



Relationships between the following classes are an open question **EXPTIME**

NP

- A. P vs NP
- **B. P vs PSPACE**
- **C.** NP vs EXPTIME
- **D.** All of the above
- **E.** All of the above and P vs EXPTIME

PSPACE

NPSPACE



Hardest problems in PSPACE

A language B is **PSPACE-complete** if

- 1. B∈ **PSPACE**
- 2. B is **PSPACE-hard**, i.e.,

every language in PSPACE is poly-time reducible to B.





The TQBF problem

- **Boolean variables:** variables that can take on values T/F (or 1/0)
- **Boolean operations:** V, \land , and \neg
- **Boolean formula:** expression with Boolean variables and ops
- **Quantified Boolean formula:** Boolean formula with quantifiers (\forall, \exists)
- Fully Quantified Boolean formula: all variables have quantifiers (∀, ∃)
 We only consider the form where all quantifiers appear in the beginning.

Ex. $\forall x \exists y [(x \lor y) \land (\bar{x} \lor \bar{y})]$ **True** $\exists y \forall x [(x \lor y) \land (\bar{x} \lor \bar{y})]$ **False**

- Each fully quantified Boolean formula is either true or false.
- The order of quantifiers matters!

TQBF = { $\langle \phi \rangle$ | ϕ is a **true** fully quantified Boolean formula}



TQBF is PSPACE-complete

TQBF is in PSPACE TQBF is PSPACE-hard



Prove: TQBF ∈ PSPACE

T = `` On input $\langle \phi \rangle$,

where ϕ is a fully quantified Boolean formula:

- 1. If ϕ has no quantifiers, it has only constants (and no variables). Evaluate ϕ . If true, accept; o.w., reject.
- 2. If ϕ is of the form $\exists x \psi$, recursively call T on ψ with x = 0 and then on ψ with x = 1. If either call accepts, accept; o.w., reject.
- 3. If ϕ is of the form $\forall x \psi$, recursively call T on ψ with x = 0 and then on ψ with x = 1. If both calls accept, accept; o.w., reject."
- If n is the input length, T uses space O(n).



If TQBF is in P then it implies that

- $\mathbf{A.} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$
- **B.** $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{PSPACE}$
- $\mathbf{C.} \ \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{EXPTIME}$
- **D.** (A) and (B) are true
- **E.** (A), (B), (C) are true





- Two players: E (for \exists) and A (for \forall)
- Given: a quantified Boolean formula
- Players alternate choosing values for variables (in the same order as the quantifiers appear).
- E wins if the formula evaluates to 1.

• Does E have a **winning strategy** in the game? (Can she win if both E and A play optimally?)



Winning strategies for games

Determining whether there is a winning strategy for a specified game position is hard for many games

- Generalized Geography is PSPACE-complete
- Generalized Chess is EXPTIME-complete





Hardest problems in EXPSPACE

A language B is **EXPSPACE-complete** if

- 1. B∈ **EXPSPACE**
- 2. B is **EXPSPACE-hard**, i.e., every language in EXPSPACE is poly-time reducible to B.





The *EQ*_{*REX*↑} **problem**

- **Regular operations:** ∪, ∘, *
- **Regular expressions:** use regular operations, \emptyset , ε , Σ , members of Σ
- We can test equivalence of two regular expressions in poly space
- **Exponentiation operation:** $R^k = R \uparrow k = R \circ \cdots \circ R$ (*k* times)

 $EQ_{REX\uparrow} = \{\langle Q, R \rangle \mid Q \text{ and } R \text{ are equivalent regular expressions}$ with exponentiation}

$EQ_{REX\uparrow}$ is EXPSPACE-complete.





Is there a decidable language which is provably not in P?



A function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is **space constructible** if the function that maps the string 1^n to the binary representation of f(n) is computable in space O(f(n)).

- Fractional functions are rounded down.
- Examples: $\log_2 n$, \sqrt{n} , $n \log_2 n$, n^2 , 2^n ,

all commonly occurring functions that are $\Omega(\log n)$



Space Hierarchy Theorem For any space constructible function f, a language exists that is decidable in O(f(n)) space, but not in o(f(n)) space.

• **Corollary 1:** For all $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$, where $\alpha < \beta$,

SPACE(n^{α}) is a strict subset of SPACE(n^{β}).

- **Corollary 2:** PSPACE is a strict subset of EXPSPACE, where EXPSPACE= $\bigcup_k SPACE(2^{n^k})$
- **Corollary 3:** $EQ_{REX\uparrow}$ is not in PSPACE.



A function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is **time constructible** if the function that maps the string 1^n to the binary representation of f(n) is computable in time O(f(n)).

- Fractional functions are rounded down.
- Examples: $n \log_2 n, n^2, 2^n$,

all commonly occurring functions that are $\Omega(n \log n)$



Time Hierarchy Theorem

For any time constructible function f, a language exists that is decidable in O(f(n)) time,

but not in $o\left(\frac{f(n)}{\log f(n)}\right)$ time.

• **Corollary 1:** For all $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$, where $\alpha < \beta$,

TIME(n^{α}) is a strict subset of TIME(n^{β}).

- **Corollary 2:** P is a strict subset of EXPTIME
- **Corollary 3:** Generalized Chess is not in P.



3SAT is decidable, but not in polynomial time.

- A. True
- **B.** False
- C. Unknown
- **D.** None of the above



 $EQ_{REX\uparrow}$ is decidable, but not in polynomial time.

- A. True
- **B.** False
- C. Unknown
- **D.** None of the above



A_{TM} is decidable, but not in polynomial time.

- A. True
- **B.** False
- C. Unknown
- **D.** None of the above







- 1. Automata theory
- 2. Computability theory
- 3. Complexity theory