

Sublinear Algorithms

Lecture 26

Sofya Raskhodnikova
Penn State University

Thanks to Madhav Jha (Penn State) for help with creating these slides.

Testing Linearity

Linear Functions Over Finite Field \mathbb{F}_2

A Boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is *linear* if

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n \text{ for some } a_1, \dots, a_n \in \{0,1\}$$

no free term

- Work in finite field \mathbb{F}_2
 - Other accepted notation for \mathbb{F}_2 : GF_2 and \mathbb{Z}_2
 - Addition and multiplication is mod 2
 - $\mathbf{x}=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y}=(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, that is, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^n$
 $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}=(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)$

example

$$\begin{array}{r} 001001 \\ + 011001 \\ \hline 010000 \end{array}$$

Testing if a Boolean function is Linear

Input: Boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

Question:

Is the function **linear** or **ε -far from linear**
($\geq \varepsilon 2^n$ values need to be changed to make it linear)?

Today: can answer in $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$ time

Motivation

- Linearity test is one of the most celebrated testing algorithms
 - A special case of many important property tests
 - Computations over finite fields are used in
 - Cryptography
 - Coding Theory
 - Originally designed for program checkers and self-correctors
 - Low-degree testing is needed in constructions of Probabilistically Checkable Proofs (PCPs)
 - Used for proving inapproximability
- Main tool in the correctness proof: Fourier analysis of Boolean functions
 - Powerful and widely used technique in understanding the structure of Boolean functions

Equivalent Definitions of Linear Functions

Definition. f is *linear* if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_2$

\Updownarrow

$[n]$ is a shorthand for $\{1, \dots, n\}$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i \text{ for some } S \subseteq [n].$$

Definition'. f is *linear* if $f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y})$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^n$.

- Definition \Rightarrow Definition'

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i \in S} (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})_i = \sum_{i \in S} x_i + \sum_{i \in S} y_i = f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y}).$$

- Definition' \Rightarrow Definition

Let $\alpha_i = f(\overbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}^{e_i})$

Repeatedly apply Definition':

$$f((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = f(\sum x_i e_i) = \sum x_i f(e_i) = \sum \alpha_i x_i.$$

Linearity Test [Blum Luby Rubinfeld 90]

BLR Test (f, ϵ)

1. Pick \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} independently and uniformly at random from $\{0,1\}^n$.
2. Set $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ and query f on \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , and \mathbf{z} . **Accept** iff $f(\mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y})$.

Analysis

If f is linear, BLR always accepts.

Correctness Theorem [Bellare Coppersmith Hastad Kiwi Sudan 95]

If f is ϵ -far from linear then $> \epsilon$ fraction of pairs \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} fail BLR test.

- Then, by [Witness Lemma \(Lecture 1\)](#), $2/\epsilon$ iterations suffice.

Analysis Technique: Fourier Expansion

Representing Functions as Vectors

Stack the 2^n values of $f(x)$ and treat it as a vector in $\{0,1\}^{2^n}$.

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} f(0000) \\ f(0001) \\ f(0010) \\ f(0011) \\ f(0100) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ f(1101) \\ f(1110) \\ f(1111) \end{bmatrix}$$

Linear functions

There are 2^n linear functions: one for each subset $S \subseteq [n]$.

$$\chi_{\emptyset} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \chi_{\{1\}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots \dots, \quad \chi_{[n]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Parity on the positions indexed by set S is $\chi_S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i$

Great Notational Switch

Idea: Change notation, so that we work over reals instead of a finite field.

- Vectors in $\{0,1\}^{2^n}$ \rightarrow Vectors in \mathbb{R}^{2^n} .
- 0/False \rightarrow 1 1/True \rightarrow -1.
- Addition (mod 2) \rightarrow Multiplication in \mathbb{R} .
- Boolean function: $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.
- Linear function $\chi_S : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is given by $\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$.

Benefit 1 of New Notation

- The dot product of f and g as vectors in $\{-1,1\}^{2^n}$:
 $(\# \mathbf{x}'\text{s such that } f(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x})) - (\# \mathbf{x}'\text{s such that } f(\mathbf{x}) \neq g(\mathbf{x}))$
 $= 2^n - 2 \cdot \underbrace{(\# \mathbf{x}'\text{s such that } f(\mathbf{x}) \neq g(\mathbf{x}))}_{\text{disagreements between } f \text{ and } g}$

Inner product of functions $f, g : \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, g \rangle &= \frac{1}{2^n} (\text{dot product of } f \text{ and } g \text{ as vectors}) \\ &= \text{avg}_{\mathbf{x} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})].\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = 1 - 2 \cdot (\text{fraction of } \textit{disagreements} \text{ between } f \text{ and } g)$$

Benefit 2 of New Notation

Claim. The functions $(\chi_S)_{S \subseteq [n]}$ form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^{2^n} .

- If $S \neq T$ then χ_S and χ_T are orthogonal: $\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = 0$.
 - Let i be an element on which S and T differ (w.l.o.g. $i \in S \setminus T$)
 - Pair up all n -bit strings: $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$ where $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ is \mathbf{x} with the i^{th} bit flipped.
 - Each such pair contributes $ab - ab = 0$ to $\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle$.
 - Since all \mathbf{x} 's are paired up, $\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = 0$.
- Recall that there are 2^n linear functions χ_S .
- $\langle \chi_S, \chi_S \rangle = 1$
 - In fact, $\langle f, f \rangle = 1$ for all $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.
 - (The **norm** of f , denoted $|f|$, is $\sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$)

	+1	-1
	-1	+1
	+1	+1
\mathbf{x}	+a	b
	+1	+1
	.	.
	.	.
	.	.
$\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$	-a	b
	+1	-1
	-1	+1
	-1	+1
	χ_S	χ_T

Fourier Expansion Theorem

Idea: Work in the basis $(\chi_S)_{S \subseteq [n]}$, so it is easy to see how close a specific function f is to each of the linear functions.

Fourier Expansion Theorem

Every function $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniquely expressible as a linear combination (over \mathbb{R}) of the 2^n linear functions:

$$f = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S,$$

where $\hat{f}(S) = \langle f, \chi_S \rangle$ is the **Fourier Coefficient** of f on set S .

Proof: f can be written uniquely as a linear combination of basis vectors:

$$f = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} c_S \cdot \chi_S$$

It remains to prove that $c_S = \hat{f}(S)$ for all S .

$$\hat{f}(S) = \langle f, \chi_S \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} c_T \cdot \chi_T, \chi_S \right\rangle = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} c_T \cdot \langle \chi_T, \chi_S \rangle = c_S$$

Definition of Fourier coefficients

Linearity of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

$$\langle \chi_T, \chi_S \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T = S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Examples: Fourier Expansion

f	Fourier transform
$f(\mathbf{x}) = 1$	1
$f(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$	x_i
AND(x_1, x_2)	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2$
MAJORITY(x_1, x_2, x_3)	$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2x_3$

Parseval Equality

Parseval Equality

Let $f: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Proof:

By Fourier Expansion Theorem

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S, \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_T \right\rangle$$

By linearity of inner product

$$= \sum_S \sum_T \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle$$

By orthonormality of χ_S 's

$$= \sum_S \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Parseval Equality

Parseval Equality for Boolean Functions

Let $f: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. Then

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 = 1$$

Proof:

By definition of inner product

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [f(x)^2]$$

Since f is Boolean

$$= 1$$

BLR Test in $\{-1,1\}$ notation

BLR Test (f, ϵ)

1. Pick \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} independently and uniformly at random from $\{-1,1\}^n$.
2. Set $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}$ and query f on \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , and \mathbf{z} . **Accept** iff $f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z}) = 1$.

Vector product notation: $\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y} = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_ny_n)$

Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma.
$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3$$

Proof: Indicator variable

$$\mathbb{1}_{BLR} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if BLR accepts} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{BLR} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z}).$$

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [\mathbb{1}_{BLR}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z})]$$

By linearity of expectation

Proof of Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma

So far: $\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z})]$

Next:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z})]$$

By Fourier Expansion Theorem

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \left[\left(\sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \right) \left(\sum_{U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(U) \chi_U(\mathbf{z}) \right) \right]$$

Distributing out the product of sums

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \left[\left(\sum_{S, T, U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z}) \right) \right]$$

By linearity of expectation

$$= \sum_{S, T, U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})]$$

Proof of Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma (Continued)

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S, T, U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})]$$

Claim. $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})]$ is 1 if $S = T = U$ and 0 otherwise. ✓

- Let $S \Delta T$ denote symmetric difference of sets S and T

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{i \in T} y_i \prod_{i \in U} z_i]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{i \in T} y_i \prod_{i \in U} x_i y_i]$$

Since $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in S \Delta U} x_i \prod_{i \in T \Delta U} y_i]$$

Since $x_i^2 = y_i^2 = 1$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in S \Delta U} x_i] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in T \Delta U} y_i]$$

Since \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are independent

$$= \prod_{i \in S \Delta U} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [x_i] \cdot \prod_{i \in T \Delta U} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [y_i]$$

Since \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} 's coordinates are independent

$$= \prod_{i \in S \Delta U} \mathbb{E}_{x_i \in \{-1, 1\}} [x_i] \cdot \prod_{i \in T \Delta U} \mathbb{E}_{y_i \in \{-1, 1\}} [y_i]$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } S \Delta U = \emptyset \text{ and } T \Delta U = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma (Done)

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S, T, U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3$$

Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma. $\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3$ ✓

Proof of Correctness Theorem

Correctness Theorem (restated)

If f is ε -far from linear then $\Pr[\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] \leq 1 - \varepsilon$.

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that

$$1 - \varepsilon < \Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3$$

By Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\max_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \right) \cdot \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Since $\hat{f}(S)^2 \geq 0$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\max_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \right)$$

Parseval Equality

- Then $\max_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) > 1 - 2\varepsilon$. That is, $\hat{f}(T) > 1 - 2\varepsilon$ for some $T \subseteq [n]$.
- But $\hat{f}(T) = \langle f, \chi_T \rangle = 1 - 2 \cdot (\text{fraction of } \textit{disagreements} \text{ between } f \text{ and } \chi_T)$
- f disagrees with a linear function χ_T on $< \varepsilon$ fraction of values. ❌

Summary

BLR tests whether a function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is
linear or **ε -far from linear**
($\geq \varepsilon 2^n$ values need to be changed to make it linear)
in $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$ time.